Rajarshi Shahu Mahavidyalaya, Latur (Autonomous)

FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM Academic Year 2016-2017



 $The \ analysis \ of \ responses \ received \ from \ the \ student \ respondents \ is \ presented \ in \ following \ table:$

No of Feedback forms received: **306**

Overall the curriculum is **excellent** with average grade **3.59 out of 4.00**.

	4	3	2	1		
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Avera ge	Below Average	Total	Grade
Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	207	88	7	4	1110	3.63
2. Weightage given to Employability	177	110	14	5	1071	3.50
3.Weightage given to entrepreneurship	181	101	18	6	1069	3.49
4. Weightage given to Skill development	209	84	11	2	1112	3.63
5. Weightage given to project	204	79	17	6	1093	3.57
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	208	77	18	3	1102	3.60
7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	192	93	17	4	1085	3.55
8. Difficulty level of course content	188	91	22	5	1074	3.51
9. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	196	77	25	8	1073	3.51
10. Level of course outcomes (expected)	194	97	11	4	1093	3.57
11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	202	86	15	3	1099	3.59
12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	195	94	12	5	1091	3.57
13. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	212	83	7	4	1115	3.64
14. Overall rating	205	84	11	6	1100	3.59

Secretary Academic Council

Rajarshi Shahu Mahavidyalaya, Latur (Autonomous)

FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM TEACHERSOF OTHER INSTITUTIONS ON CURRICULUM Academic Year 2016-2017



The analysis of responses received from the teachers of other institutes respondents is presented in following table: No of Feedback forms received: **168**

Overall the curriculum is **excellent** with average grade **3.70 out of 4.00**.

	4	3	2	1		
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Total	Grade
Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	117	47	4	0	617	3.67
2. Weightage given to Employability	104	49	11	4	589	3.51
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	95	57	11	5	578	3.44
4.Weightage given to Skill development	112	42	11	3	599	3.57
5. Weightage given to Project	118	36	12	2	606	3.61
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	114	44	7	3	605	3.60
7. Depth of the course content	110	50	8	0	606	3.61
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	104	47	12	5	586	3.49
9. Difficulty level of course content	99	51	13	5	580	3.45
10. Optimization of course content	119	41	5	3	612	3.64
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	101	57	6	4	591	3.52
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus	122	33	8	5	608	3.62
13. Relevance of Course outcomes	114	44	6	4	604	3.60
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	118	40	6	4	608	3.62
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	111	49	4	4	603	3.59
16. Overall rating	123	40	3	2	620	3.70
					•	•





Rajarshi Shahu Mahavidyalaya, Latur

(Autonomous)

FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM EMPLOYER ON CURRICULUM Academic Year 2016-2017



The analysis of responses received from the employer's respondents is presented in following table: No of Feedback forms received: **138**

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.63 out of 4.00.

	4	3	2	1		
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Total	Grade
Innovativeness and creativity	99	31	8	0	505	3.66
2. Weightage given to Employability / entrepreneurship/Skill development	71	56	6	5	469	3.40
3. Weightage given to practical, field work component	88	39	9	2	489	3.54
4. Depth of the course content	83	47	8	0	489	3.54
5. Curriculum proved useful at workplace	85	39	9	5	480	3.48
6. Incorporation of component about development of ability to manage/leadership qualities	64	60	9	5	459	3.33
7. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	76	49	9	4	473	3.43
8. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	96	30	11	1	497	3.60
9. Usage of Curriculum for development of human resource at your industry/workplace	72	53	10	3	470	3.41
10. Overall rating	98	32	5	3	501	3.63

Secretary
Academic Council

Rajarshi Shahu Mahavidyalaya, Latur

(Autonomous)

FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2016-2017

 $The \ analysis \ of \ responses \ received \ from \ the \ alumni \ respondents \ is \ presented \ in \ following \ table:$

No of Feedback forms received: 198

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.48 out of 4.00.

	4	3	2	1		
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Total	Grade
Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	152	37	6	3	734	3.71
2. Weightage given to Employability	103	76	17	2	676	3.41
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	118	61	15	4	689	3.48
4.Weightage given to Skill development	132	55	9	2	713	3.60
5. Weightage given to project	134	48	13	3	709	3.58
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	140	41	12	5	712	3.60
7. Depth of the course content	126	60	8	4	704	3.56
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	132	52	12	2	710	3.59
9. Difficulty level of course content	125	57	12	4	699	3.53
10. Optimization of course content	133	54	6	5	711	3.59
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	128	48	14	6	690	3.48
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	151	36	7	4	730	3.69
13. Level of Course Outcomes	144	41	10	3	722	3.65
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	126	53	13	6	695	3.51
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	117	69	10	2	697	3.52
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	126	58	12	2	704	3.56
17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners	105	57	28	8	655	3.31
18. Overall rating	124	53	13	8	689	3.48
	1		1		1	L

Secretary

Rajarshi Shahu Mahavidyalaya, Latur

(Autonomous)

FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF INPUT RECEIVED FROM PARENT ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2016-2017

The analysis of responses received from the respondents is presented in following table:

No of Feedback forms received: 295

Overall the curriculum is excellent with average grade 3.48 out of 4.00.

	4	3	2	1		
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Total	Grade
1. Suitable design of curriculum	197	69	11	18	1035	3.51
2. Relevance of course content to the local needs	159	99	22	15	992	3.36
3. Promotion of learning experience of the students	191	71	16	17	1026	3.48
4. Focus on Employability	123	121	37	14	943	3.20
5. Weightage given to practical and field work component	154	102	20	19	981	3.33
6. Inculcation of moral values	182	88	11	14	1028	3.48
7. Applicability/relevance to real life situations	170	99	11	15	1014	3.44
8. Weightage given to entrepreneurship /Skill development	136	120	25	14	968	3.28
9. Incorporation of recent changes/latest developments of subject in the curriculum	194	74	8	19	1033	3.50
10. Optimization of course content	176	88	17	14	1016	3.44
11. Promotion of research attitude	158	91	27	19	978	3.32
12. Importance of learning values	187	75	15	18	1021	3.46
13. Overall rating	191	74	12	18	1028	3.48

Secretary Academic Council